

APPLICATION NO.

10/642,879

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

WLI 1063 PUS 3318

EXAMINER

7590 05/16/2005

FILING DATE

08/18/2003

John S. Artz Artz & Artz, PC Suite 250 28333 Telegraph Road Southfield, MI 48034

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3711

CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

David Morrow

		E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/642,879	MORROW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mike Chambers	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 h	March 2005.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 19-26 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 19-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	• •	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,879

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

With regards to the withdrawal of claims: Although the previous office action indicated claims 9-15 were withdrawn, this was a typographical error. The applicant's response showing claims 9-18 as withdrawn is correct.

Although elections to a restriction requirement may be made over the phone, there is a requirement that the election be affirmed in the written response to the office action.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Since the response clearly showed the elected and withdrawn claims, there was a merely a need to have a written response in the record.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In lines 8 and 9: A reference is made to "said metal tube". This lacks proper antecedent basis. It is not clear if the "metal tube" refers to the one-piece tube claimed or a new tube. Since dependent claim 2 includes the limitation "metal material" it will be assumed the inclusion of this limitation in claim 1 is a typographical error.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,879

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoult (3702702). Hoult discloses

a hollow tube having a generally uniform dimension along its length and having an interior surface and an exterior surface, said hollow tube has a first thickness defined by a distance between said interior surface and said exterior surface at a first location along said tube and a second thickness defined by a distance between said interior surface and said exterior thickness at a second location along said hollow metal tube; wherein said first thickness has a greater magnitude than said second thickness (fig 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,879

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 2-8, and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoult in view of CPSC. Hoult fails to disclose the use of a metal handle. The use of metal handles is well known in the art. CPSC discloses a titanium lacrosse handle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the material of the titanium lacrosse handle of CPSC with the device in order to provide a lightweight and sturdy device.

As to claim 3: Hoult discloses a top and bottom portion (fig 1 and 5).

As to claim 4: Hoult discloses a top portion with a first thickness substantially uniform (fig 1 and 5).

As to claim 5: Hoult discloses a bottom portion with a second thickness substantially uniform (fig 1 and 5).

As to claim 6: Hoult discloses a lacrosse handle. Hoult discloses the elements of claim 6, however it fails to clearly disclose the use of a taper. The tapering is a matter of design choice. The specification provides no unexpected results in using a tapering shaft. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected an appropriate taper in order to improve the assembly method used and increase the control of the player.

As to claim 7: Hoult discloses a lacrosse handle (fig 1 and 5). This is a product by process claim which is met by the structure of Hoult.

As to claims 8 and 24: CPSC discloses a titanium handle (paragraph 3).

As to claims 19 and 23: See claim 2 rejection (fig 1 and 5).

As to claims 20, 21 and 25: Hoult discloses a first and second thickness (fig. 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,879 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

As to claims 22 and 26: Hoult discloses a bottom and top half (fig 5).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4739994;4280727;5951078\*5749798\*6752730\*65

00079\*3702702

May 11, 2005

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**